



Case Summary Two

FrePPLe

The Client	<p>FrePPLe produces an open source production planning software. When a manufacturing company can no longer efficiently plan its production with an excel spreadsheet, FrePPLe provides an easy and cost-effective way to boost the planning process to a new level.</p> <p>The project was started as a hobby project. No external funding was required at the stage the client required legal advice from the clinic. However, due to the success of the project, FrePPLe is now looking to grow its team.</p>
Legal challenges	<p>FrePPLe asked for legal assistance in the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A review of their license agreement• A review of their source code header• A review of a contributor agreement for open source contributors. The agreement aimed to protect the intellectual property rights being created up in FrePPLe when for example, external authors contributed to source code.
Advice	<p>Advice was provided by DLA Piper, who is partner of the KU Leuven iLINC ICT Law Incubator</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A review of the Enterprise Contract: <p>A draft document was provided to DLA Piper (“DLA”) and the students that contained all the elements that FrePPLe considered important and essential. On review of the contact, the students compared various templates of other enterprise contacts and considered the clauses which were omitted and which were included, but not necessary. With assistance and guidance from the supervising lawyers, the students reviewed the contract and made the necessary changes to the contract, explaining the changes to the client in an accompanying memorandum.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Contributor License Agreement <p>The students analysed the existing agreement and compared it to other templates provided</p>



iLINC
ICT Law Incubators
Network

	<p>by DLA. The student made adjustments, modifications and deleted certain aspects where necessary and justified these changes in an accompanying document. The final result was a finished contract for the client.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• IP issues and review of the license header (Work in Progress) <p>The students analysed the possibility of registering the client’s IP. The client was provided practical insight on how to achieve registration, in which countries registration is possible and beneficial, what the cost could be etc.</p> <p>On the basis of standard templates provided by DLA, the student made adjustments and revisions where necessary. Again, the revisions were provided in an accompanying memo.</p>
Feedback	<p>“iLINC has answered our questions around the legal position of our open source product. Documents were reviewed & adjusted according to our needs. We’re happy with the results.”</p>